1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 4 AT SEATTLE 5 TREVOR KEVIN BAYLIS, 6 No. 2:23-cv-01653-RSM Plaintiff, 7 **ORDER GRANTING** v. DEFENDANT VALVE 8 CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT VALVE CORPORATION, 9 Defendant. 10 11 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Valve Corporation ("Valve")'s 12 Motion for More Definite Statement. Dkt. #18. Valve's short Motion brought under Rule 13 12(e)(6) asks the Court to order Plaintiff to state his cause or causes of action with more 14 specificity and to number the paragraphs of his complaint pursuant to Rule 10(b). Plaintiff 15 Baylis has not filed a timely response brief. 16 The Court agrees with Valve that although the Amended Complaint mentions various 17 statutes and conventions, its one stated cause of action is styled as a common law claim that 18 only alleges a duty was breached. See Dkt. #15 at 42. The cause of action does not identify 19 the source of the alleged duty or even what type of claim it is. This is insufficient under Rule 20 8(a) and justifies the relief requested. 21 Having reviewed the Amended Complaint and the remainder of the record, the Court 22 hereby FINDS and ORDERS that Valve's Motion for More Definite Statement, Dkt. #18, is 23 GRANTED. Plaintiff Baylis is to file a more definite statement identifying the nature and 24 basis of his claim within fourteen (14) days. This Second Amended Complaint shall have 25 paragraph numbers and otherwise be in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(b). 26

1	DATED this 29 th day January, 2024.
2	
3	
4	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	